

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/036,308 | 10/26/2001 | Eleftherios P. Diamandis | 11757.58USU1 | 2749 | |
| 23552 | 7590 12/24/2003 | EXAMINER | | IINER | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | | CHERNYSH | CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N | |
| | LIS, MN 55402-0903 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1646 | | |

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | T | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 10/036,308 | DIAMANDIS, ELEFTHERIOS P. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| The MAN INC DATE of this communication one | Olga N. Chernyshev | 1646 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or excheded period for reply will, by statute, cause the application become ABANDONED (35 U.S. £ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Oc | ctober 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-6 and 9-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5.6 and 9-13 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other: | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 4-6, 9-10 and 13 have been amended and claims 1-3 and 7-8 have been cancelled as requested in the amendment of Paper, filed on October 08, 2003. Claims 4-6 and 9-15 are pending in the instant application.

Claims 14-15 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.

Claims 4-6, 9-13 are under examination in the instant office action.

- 2. The Text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Any objection or rejection of record, which is not expressly repeated in this action has been overcome by Applicant's response and withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on October 08, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 6 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for diagnosing Alzheimer's disease in a subject comprising detecting the specific levels of hK6 in a sample of whole blood or cerebrospinal fluid, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method for monitoring Alzheimer's disease in a subject comprising detecting hK6 in a sample derived from a subject. The specification does

Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims for those reasons of record in section 3 of Paper No. 7. Briefly, claims 6 and 9 are drawn to a method for diagnosing and monitoring Alzheimer's disease in a subject by detecting hK6 in a blood or SCF sample derived from the subject. The instant specification, as filed, fails to provide any information on how to monitor Alzheimer's disease by the claimed method. There is no discussion or any other indication that the findings of high concentration of hK6 in blood and CSF samples of AD patients were estimated based on the level of progression of AD. In the absence of teachings or working examples in the instant specification for this particular limitation, and in view of the lack of information found in prior art, a skilled artisan would have to resort to a significant amount of undue experimentation in order to discover how to practice Applicant's invention as currently claimed.

New grounds of rejection necessitated by amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 5-6 and 9-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 5 and 6 are vague and indefinite for recitation "quantifying hK6 by detecting" or ""quantitating hK6 by detecting", respectively for reasons of record in section 6 of Paper No. 7.

Art Unit: 1646

Briefly, quantification of hK6 does not equal to detection of hK6. Applicant is advised that recitation "detecting the amount", such as in claim 4, would obviate this ground of rejection.

- 8. Claim 6 recites the limitation "and monitoring" in claim 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 9-13 are indefinite for being dependent from indefinite claims.

Conclusion

- 10. Claim 4 is allowed. Claims 5, 6 and 9-13 are rejected.
- 11. This application contains claims 14-15 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,308

Art Unit: 1646

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga N. Chernyshev whose telephone number is (703) 305-1003. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9 AM to 5 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 782-9306 for regular communications and (703) 782-9307 for After Final communications.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)0. NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556 or (703) 308-4242. If either of these numbers is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternative number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,308

Art Unit: 1646

Olga N. Chernyshev, Ph.D. December 22, 2003

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Page 6